

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/666,216 | SANO ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | JIE YANG | 1793 | |

All Participants:

 (1) JIE YANG.

(2) _____.

Status of Application: AP,B

 (3) Terrylene F. Chapman.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 February 2010
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

1 and 4-13

Claims discussed:

1 and 4-13

Prior art documents discussed:

JP'353, JP'255, and JP'897

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/JieYang/

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner found the claims 1, 4, 5, 8, and 11 are allowable in view of the argument/remark of Appeal brief filed on 11/27/2009. Claims 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, and 13 are still rejected. The Examiner discussed with the Applicant the rejection for claims 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, and 13. The Authorization for the examiner's amendment was given in reply telephone of Mr. Terryence F. Chapman on 2/17/2010. The detail amendment can refer to the Examiner's amendment. .